Inv 3-13:2-3

If it we desired to keep Grain out of Galles for a while, this was a priect way or doing it (I do not know this to have been the case, but it was the effect). It is true, as Acover never indicates, that the belies police had a mangup on the FEI, one of the rare cases it shows (and Curry doesn't even hide it is his book), but there is no reason to believe they referred Drain to moover, for example. TeI custody should have satisfied them. Indithey knew demned well Drain wasn't sleeping in a safe with this evidence. So, I just wender a little if heaver had so othing going here I do not detect.

One possible inference of the third paragraph is that Rankin desired the clothing not to be in Calles for that trial.

The explanations of the phone on bers, seemingly fortaright, are not.

what was esweld dring with "the general telephone number for the City of Dellas" after he had been Grisked and stripped? The most obvious sugg stion is that this is the number through which the joil phone he had eveilable to him worked, which tends to corroborate the repeated runers of a special phone call he is alleged to have made. If it were the number on which he soul be calls, then, of course, he should have had it. But this also meens eavesdropping on him was child's play.

nd why was not McDargid's number in his pocket-the whole clip of paper allegedly given him, with the number and the massage? This lack sayms to debunk the police claim to uswa given him the message.

I do not know whather or not bt is in the Freedman & Unger law firm firm. If he is not, then it strikes me as strange Dawell didn't have it it in his possession, when each, for he had never been told bt would not take the case. If Abt is not in that firm, we have no explanation for this number, and never having been alleged to have called it.

Strange now there is never an explanation that readly explains or that locan't raise new, unenswered questions.